## RESEARCH

## **Open Access**

# A data-driven method to detect and localize the single-phase grounding fault in distribution network based on synchronized phasor measurement



Bo Wang<sup>1</sup>, Hongxia Wang<sup>1</sup>, Liming Zhang<sup>2</sup>, Danlei Zhu<sup>1</sup>, Dongxu Lin<sup>3</sup> and Shaohua Wan<sup>4\*</sup> 💿

## Abstract

The single-phase grounding fault of power systems is influenced by a variety of factors, resulting from the developing sizes and increasing complexity of power systems. In order to take advantage of big data in power systems, we propose a revised method with the use of synchronized phasor measurement. The data-driven method is designed to detect and localize the single-phase grounding fault, which reveals the correlation between eigenvalues and status of power systems. First, it calculates the contribution of the fault to each node; it then combines with a split window to monitor power systems in real time and to detect fault more efficiently. Based on the correlation between the elements of the matrix, it is robust against bad data and highly sensitive to weak signals. In general, the proposed method with case studies from a distribution network with 80 nodes.

Keywords: Big data, High-dimensional zero-sequence current matrix, M-P law, Fault detection, Localization

## 1 Introduction

The single-phase grounding fault is the default method in power systems [1]. However, the access to highpermeability new energy has gradually evolved power systems into multi-source dynamic networks [2–6], which makes the single-phase grounding fault difficult to detect and eliminate, especially when the fault signal is weak, such as grounded by large resistance.

Synchronized phasor measurements have expanded access to data in power systems, which makes it easier to extract fault features [7]. With the data of synchronized phasor measurements, Cavalcante and Almeida presents an approach based on the short-circuit theory, which can accurately find the location of the fault and deal well with the influence of the random errors inherent in measurements [8]. In his work, the nodal impedance

\*Correspondence: shwanhust@gmail.com

<sup>4</sup>School of Information and Safety Engineering, Zhongnan University of

Economics and Law, Wuhan 430073, China

matrix  $Z_{bus}$  needs to be constructed at first, but in practice, it is difficult to obtain accurate impedance parameters because of the mix of overhead lines and cables. Liu et al. argues locating the fault by using the relationship in a time difference of arrival of traveling wave [9], yet the accuracy of traveling wave velocity measurement is difficult to achieve in traveling wave fault analysis. Jiang et al. develops a new discrete Fourier transform (DFT)based algorithm, which can eliminate system noise and measurement errors [10]; thus, the accuracy of the fault location can be guaranteed to some degree. However, these methods still use traditional approaches to analyze data from synchronous measurements and do not fundamentally solve the key problems of fault detection and localization. On the one hand, the features of the fault signal are not easy to extract when it is weak. On the other hand, noises and bad data may make the fault misjudged or even missed. This situation leads to an emerging paradigm of big data analytics, for detection and localization of the single-phase grounding faults in power systems[11–16].



© The Author(s). 2019 **Open Access** This article is distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made.

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

The big data analytics make it approachable to capitalize on data from various fields and thus provide more information [17-32]. Many studies on big data analytics have been applied to power systems [33-40]. Among them, random matrix theory (RMT) is a mathematical statistical method which can directly mine the intrinsic connection of a system from data; thus, it can be applied to extract fault features and increase the accuracy of fault detection and localization. RMT aims to calculate statistical features measured by eigenvalue statistics [34]. In the literature, correlation analysis [34], multi-event analytics [35], and static and transient stability analysis of power systems have been successfully utilized [36, 37]. Methods on fault localization have been proposed as well in [38]. Based on bat algorithm and random matrix theory, Kai introduces fault line selection, and Weibiao et al. argues for determining the fault area by constructing augmented matrix [38]. The accuracy of these methods cannot be guaranteed when the distribution network is complex. Moreover, the complexity of the network will slow down the calculation speed.

Marchenko-Pastur law (M-P law) reveals the correlation between the elements of the matrix, which is one of the important laws in the random matrix theory (RMT). Based on the M-P law, this paper proposes a novel data-driven method to detect and localize the single-phase grounding fault, where the outliers in the spectrum of a zero-sequence matrix represent the signal of the fault, and the fault localization indices of each node are calculated based on the outliers. By filtering the outliers and combining with the network structure, the location of the fault is determined. Since the proposed method focuses on the correlation of the elements in the matrix, it can extract information about the fault in the situation of weak signals. Combined with a split window, the fault detection and localization can be efficient and in real-time. Furthermore, bad data will not strengthen linkages between elements, so the proposed method is robust against bad data and can be well applied to the distribution network with a complex environment.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives out the random matrix theory and data processing. Section 3 presents the detection and localization method of single-phase grounding fault based on the M-P law. Section 4 validates the effectiveness of the method proposed through three simulations. Finally, Section 5 draws a brief conclusion.

#### 2 Random matrix theory and data processing

The frequently used notations in this section are given in Table 1.

The data from synchronized phasor measurement in power systems is typical spatial-temporal big data. It is

 Table 1
 Notations for RMT and data processing

Notations	Means
$\overline{X, x, x_{ij}}$	A matrix, a vector, an entry of matrix
$X^T, x^T$	Transpose of a matrix and a vector
$\mu(x), \sigma^2(x)$	Mean, variance for x
S	The sample covariance matrix
Ν, Τ	The row and column size of the split
	Window
λ	Eigenvalue of the matrix
p,n	The row and column size of the matrix
V	Eigenvector
<i>X̃</i>	A standard non-Hermitian matrix
Ω	Data source

therefore difficult for traditional analytical tools to satisfy the requirements of precision and accuracy of data processing in a big data environment through the establishment of hypotheses and simplified models.

Random matrix theory (RMT) is an effective mathematical tool to analyze complex systems. The elements in the random matrix can be either deterministic data or random numbers that follow certain distributions. Although the matrix dimension of the random matrix theory needs to be infinite, a fairly accurate result can be observed in a matrix of moderate size (dimension from tens to hundreds) as well. This is the premise of random matrix theory for dealing with practical engineering problems [34].

According to RMT, when the dimensions of a random matrix are sufficiently large, and the size is determined, the empirical spectral distribution (ESD) of its eigenvalues converges to some theoretical limits, such as the Ring law and the Marchenko-Pastur law (M-P Law) [34–38]. In this paper, the M-P law is used to analyze the operation of power systems and detect and localize the fault with high speed and sensitivity.

#### 2.1 Sample covariance matrix and M-P law

For a given matrix  $X_{p \times n}$ , where p and n represent the number of dimension and samples, respectively. As  $p, n \to \infty$  with  $c = p/n \in (0, 1)$ , the covariance matrix of  $X_{p \times n}$  can be calculated as follows:

$$S = -\frac{1}{n} X_n X_n^* \tag{1}$$

where  $X_n^*$  is the complex conjugate matrix of  $X_n$ . In large dimensional data analysis, the analysis quantity can be defined as the function of empirical spectrum distribution (ESD) of the sample covariance in many multivariate statistics, so sample covariance is widely used in the random matrix. The empirical spectrum distribution (ESD) function is as follows:

$$F^{S}(x) = \frac{1}{p} \times \sum_{i=1}^{p} I\left\{\lambda_{i}^{S} \le x\right\}, x \in R$$

$$\tag{2}$$

where  $F^{S}(x)$  is ESD and  $I\{.\}$  is characteristic function. The limit of empirical spectral distribution is the limit spectral distribution. When the elements of a high-dimensional random matrix are independently identically distributed (IID.), with a mean of 0 and a variance of 1, the limit spectrum distribution of the sample covariance matrix of the random matrix converges to the following formula with probability 1:

$$f_{c}(\lambda) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2\pi c\lambda\sigma^{2}})\overline{(b-\lambda)(\lambda-a)}, a \leq \lambda \leq b\\ 0, & \text{others} \end{cases}$$
(3)

where  $a = \sigma^2 (1 - \sqrt{c})^2$ ,  $b = \sigma^2 (1 + \sqrt{c})^2$ , c = p/n.

 $\sigma^2$  is the variance of the matrix. This is the Marchenko-Pastur law (M-P law) based on variables *c* and  $\sigma^2$ , which reflects a statistical property of high-dimensional matrix, and the eigenvalues will between *a* and *b*.

For matrices with c, both b and limit spectrum distribution are deterministic, as shown in Fig. 1, where the outliers are circled in red.

## 2.2 Construction of high-dimensional zero-sequence current matrix and data processing

We use zero-sequence currents as the matrix elements because it will help to extract fault characteristics from a random matrix theory point of view.

Assuming there are p nodes equipped with a measuring device, each node generates a corresponding zerosequence current at the sampling time  $t_i$ , and the measured data of all nodes form a time vector:

$$\boldsymbol{x}\left(t_{i}\right) = \begin{bmatrix} x_{1t_{i}}, x_{2t_{i}}, \cdots, x_{Nt_{i}} \end{bmatrix}^{T}$$

$$\tag{4}$$

Therefore, for a distribution network with p nodes and n sampling points, a high-dimensional matrix with size  $p \times n$  can be constructed, that is,

$$X_{p \times n} = \begin{bmatrix} X_{1t1} & X_{1t2} & \dots & X_{1n} \\ X_{2t1} & X_{2t2} & \dots & X_{2n} \\ \vdots & \dots & \dots & \vdots \\ X_{pt1} & X_{pt2} & \dots & X_{pn} \end{bmatrix}$$
(5)

Each row represents the same node and each column represents the same sampling time.

To convert X into a standard non-Hermitian matrix,

$$\hat{X}_i = (X_i - \mu(X_i)) / \sigma(X_i), i = 1, 2, \dots p$$
 (6)

where  $X_i$  is the *i* -th column of *X*, and  $\mu$  ( $X_i$ ) and  $\sigma$  ( $X_i$ ) are the mean value and variance of  $X_i$ , respectively. We then get the matrix  $\tilde{X}$  with mean of 0 and variance of 1.

In order to conduct real-time analysis, the split window is used to construct the above matrix, and the size of the window is  $N \times T$ , where N is the number of all synchronized phasor measurements in the distribution network, and T is selected according to  $c = N/T \in (0, 1)$ . We use c = 0.4 in this paper.

To facilitate the real-time analysis, the split window has a step size of 1, that is, one new column of data is moved into the window at each moment, and one column of historical data is removed, so that the window contains T - 1 column history data and 1 column of new data, that is,

$$\tilde{X}(t_i) = \left[ \tilde{x}_{t_i - T + 1}, \tilde{x}_{t_i - T + 2}, \cdots, \tilde{x}_{t_i} \right]$$
(7)

### 2.3 Adaptability analysis of the M-P law in distribution network

In order to verify the applicability of the M-P law to single-phase grounding fault detection and localization, the zero-sequence current matrix with  $80 \times 200$  operating data from the 80-node distribution network is analyzed. When the distribution network is in normal operation, some of its parameters, such as the amplitude of voltage and zero sequence current, etc., fluctuate near a fixed value, as shown in Fig. 2a; the fluctuation is caused by measuring errors, noises, or small disturbance in network. Then, the data shows statistical randomness, which follows the M-P law. When there is a single-phase grounding





fault, as shown in Fig. 2b, however, this random characteristic is broken, and there is a correlation within the system, so it no longer meets the M-P law. This means that M-P law can successfully distinguish the fault from data, which is the very reason that we use M-P law to analyse the zero-sequence current matrix.

According to the M-P law, when the distribution network is running normally, the limit spectrum of covariance matrix of high-dimensional zero-sequence current matrix is in good agreement with the M-P law, that is,  $\lambda_i \in$ (a, b), where  $\lambda_i$  is one of eigenvalues of  $S_n$ ,  $i = 1, 2, 3 \cdots n$ , as shown in Fig. 3a. While there is a single-phase grounding fault, because of the correlation within the network, limit distribution of these eigenvalues will not be in line with the M-P law anymore, and some eigenvalues will be larger than b, as shown in Fig. 3b, where kernel density estimation is the estimation of eigenvalues distribution; thus, these outliers can be filtered to detect and localize the grounding fault. This is the heart of the method proposed in this paper.

## 3 Detection and localization method of single-phase grounding fault in distribution network

#### 3.1 Fault contribution value L

According to the analysis above, if there is a fault in the distribution network, the eigenvalues of the covariance matrix will be out of range. Those larger than b represent abnormal and important information, which can be used for fault detection and localization. The eigenvalues smaller than a are also characterized as abnormal information, but since the information they carry is limited, they can be ignored. We define the contribution of each node to the fault as L. When no fault occurs, each node contributes 0 to the fault, and when there is a fault, the fault current flows from the source to the fault node, then those nodes with fault currents will contribute to the fault and their L will increase.

In this paper, all the eigenvalues larger than b are screened to calculate the contribution degree of each measuring point to the fault, and the contribution of each node to the abnormal eigenvalues is obtained by matrix calculation.

For the normalized high-dimensional zero-sequence current matrix  $\tilde{X}$ , the covariance matrix *S* is a symmetric matrix, so singular value decomposition (SVD) can be performed:

$$S = W \Sigma U \tag{8}$$

where W and U are left and right eigenvectors, respectively, which are singular value matrix. From the literature [41],

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_k}{\partial S_{ij}} = U_k^T \frac{\partial S}{\partial S_{ij}} W_k \tag{9}$$

Since  $\frac{\partial S_{ij}}{\partial S_{ij}} = 1$ , we get,

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_k}{\partial S_{ij}} = U_{kj} W_{ik} \tag{10}$$

Therefore, the fault contribution of the *i*-th node can be characterized as

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_k}{\partial S_i} = \sum_{j=1}^n \left( U_{kj} W_{ik} \right)^2 = W_{ik}^2 \tag{11}$$

The abnormal eigenvalues and the corresponding eigenvectors are used to calculate the contribution degree of each node to the fault, the contribution degree of the eigenvalues larger than b is added by weight, and the weight is the value of the corresponding eigenvalue. The contribution of the *i*-th node is

$$L_{i} = \frac{\sum\limits_{k>b} \lambda_{k} W_{ik}^{2}}{\sum\limits_{\lambda_{k}>b} \lambda_{k}}$$
(12)



where  $\lambda_k$  is the *k*-th eigenvalue of the covariance matrix of the high-dimensional zero-sequence current matrix.  $W_{ik}$  represents the *i*-th row element of the *k*-th eigenvector  $W_k$ , which is corresponding to the *k*-th eigenvalue  $\lambda_k$ , and *b* is calculated from (3).

## 3.2 Flow of single-phase grounding fault detection and localization in distribution network

In order to achieve the purpose of quick detection and localization, we combine the moving split window with the fault contribution of each node in this paper and use  $L_i \ge 0.1$  to detect and locate the fault. For a specific period, the fault localization process steps are as follows:

#### 3.3 Fault localization

The distribution network is an organic system in which each node is associated with each other. When a node fails, other nodes will be affected to different extents. The node through which the fault current flows has a greater contribution to the fault, and the node without

### Algorithm 1 Flow of fault detection and localization

1) determine the size of the moving split window  $V_{N \times T}$ , which

includes T - 1 historical data, 1 current data, and the start time  $t_0$ 

- 2 normalize V to get  $\tilde{V}$
- 4) calculate *S*, which is corresponding to  $\tilde{V}$

5) for *S*, conduct eigenvalue decomposition, and then calculate  $L_i$ 

(where *i* is the number of each node)

6) sift nodes whose L are larger than 0.1, and locate the fault by

using the structure of the network 7) move the moving split window. Repeat 2)-6)

fault current flowing through has a smaller contribution. Therefore, a series of nodes with large fault contribution values are selected to determine the fault location. This

Page 6 of 13

process first filters out the nodes that exceed a certain threshold, and then determines the fault by combining with the structure of a distribution network, the location of the power supply, and the like.

In this paper, the nodes with fault distribution larger than 0.1 are selected as the fault location information. When there is a node with its L larger than 0.1, it can be argued that there is a fault and the range is selected by (13). Although random errors can arise when there is no fault sometimes, but to simplify and emphasize the problem of fault detection and localization, we just ignore them when they arise in normal operation stage.

$$loc = \arg\left(L_i \ge 0.1\right) \tag{13}$$

where loc represents the location information, and arg  $(L_i \ge 0.1)$  is a function to filter the nodes whose L are larger than 0.1. The threshold is selected based on the distribution network model. In the simulation, we found that some nodes have significantly lager L values than 0.1, and when we choose these nodes to locate the fault, the results are satisfactory. However, when we make the threshold smaller, although the results can be obtained correctly, the process is more complicated since more nodes will be filtered. Thus, we choose 0.1 as the threshold to make the fault detection and localization easier.

## 4 Simulation results and discussions

The proposed method is tested with the simulated data in an 80-node distribution network based on PSCAD, as shown in Figure 8 in the Appendix. With a sampling frequency of 20,000 Hz, zero-sequence current of each node can be generated. The transformers directly connected to the two power supplies adopt 110/11 ratio. Other transformers are with 10/0.38 ratio. Each branch is set to be one node, and the synchronized phasor measurement is installed at each node to obtain the zero sequence current.

Three cases are designed to validate the effectiveness of the proposed method. For all cases, assume that N = 80, T = 200, and the data source  $\Omega$  is  $80 \times 3000$ . The fault is set up when t = 600.

#### 4.1 Single-phase grounding fault of single node

#### 4.1.1 Case 1: Single-phase grounding by small resistance

In case 1, node 4 is grounded by  $10\Omega$  when t = 600, and the detection and localization results are shown in Fig. 4.

In Fig. 4a, based on the *L*-node-*t* curve, we can detect signals based on the following analysis.

I. During the sampling time t = 1 - 617, the *L* of all nodes have values less than 0.1, which means that the system is normal without fault.

II. When t = 618, the *L* of node 2 and node 4 increase to 0.1364 and 0.144, respectively. According to (13), it can be determined that there exists a single-phase grounding fault when t = 618. The fault time was set to be t = 600,

so the delay is only 0.9 ms, which means that the proposed method can quickly detect the fault. Since it takes a certain amount of time for L to rise from 0 to 0.1, and the system requires a certain reaction time after the fault, the delay is inevitable.

III. Only node 2 and node 4 can be filtered to locate the fault in this case. As shown in Fig. 4b, node 4 is at the end of the line. When it is grounded, the fault current would flow from node 1 to node 4, and through node 2, so the fault location can be determined at node 4.

#### 4.1.2 Case 2: Single-phase grounding by large resistance

In order to validate the effectiveness of the proposed method when the fault signal is weak, case 2 increases the grounding resistance to  $10k\Omega$ . The results are as shown in Fig. 5. It can be seen that the fault can be detected at t = 743, and the delay is 7.15 ms. This means that the weakness of the signal is reflected in the extension of time to detect the fault in the method proposed in this paper. In this case, a delay of 7.15 ms is still acceptable and the method is still time-saving. Since the filtered nodes are node 2 and node 4, the localization result is the same as Fig. 4b.

## 4.1.3 Case 3: Comparison of time consumption across different methods

To compare the time consumed of different methods, four methods are implemented for case 1, that is, M1: the method proposed in this paper, M2: the correlation analysis [38], M3: wavelet transform method [42], and M4: a method based on RMT and Hausdorff distance. Table 2 shows the comparison results. For results of M2–M4, please refer to the Appendix section.

In Table 2, it can be seen that M3 uses the least amount of time, and M2 uses the most. M1 ranks third regarding the amount of time consumed. In general, the method proposed in this paper can function relatively quickly.

## 4.1.4 Case 4: Comparison of four methods when grounded by large resistance

Case 4 compares the effectiveness across the four methods when node 4 is grounded by  $10 \text{ k}\Omega$ , as shown in Table 3.

From Table 3 and the analysis above, we can detect signals based on the analysis below.

I. When grounded by 10 k $\Omega$ , the fault signal is very weak and only the method proposed in this paper is effective, which means that our proposed method is of high sensitivity and can react positively to different complex scenarios in a distribution network.

II. The fault signal is strong when grounded via a small resistance, which is beneficial to the extraction of fault features. If grounded by a large resistance, the amplitude of zero-sequence current of each node changes very little, which means the fault signal can be considerably

weak and the fault characteristics are difficult to extract. As such, MSR barely changes and M2 fails. For M3, it monitors some electrical quantities of the bus (such as zero-sequence voltage, zero-sequence current, etc.). Once the value of the electrical quantity exceeds the set threshold, it is considered that the fault occurs, and the fault localization procedure can be started. However, when the fault signal is weak, the bus voltage or current changes little, then the fault cannot be identified and the fault localization cannot be achieved. Based on the random matrix and Hausdorff distance, M4 also starts by a sudden change of the amplitude of some electrical quantities of the bus, so it fails with a weak fault signal as well.

III. The traditional methods have relatively decent applicability when the fault signal is strong. Yet, the sensitivity would be reduced when the fault signal is weak, and





accordingly, the fault detection and localization cannot be performed, which can be seen as their limitations. Since the method proposed in this paper is based on the internal correlation of the system and monitoring the distribution network from a global perspective with data-driven tools, there is no need to reduce the value of *L* to make it sensible to the fault with weak signals.

In conclusion, the method proposed is of high sensitivity and high speed when facing single-phase grounding fault.

#### 4.2 Simultaneous grounding fault for multi-node

#### 4.2.1 Case 1: Two nodes grounded simultaneously

Multi-node faults may occur simultaneously in some areas in thunderstorm days. In order to validate the effectiveness of the proposed method in this situation, singlephase grounding faults are set at node 4 and node 11 at the same time, from 10 and  $20\Omega$ , respectively. The results are shown in Fig. 6.

In Fig. 6a, with the *L*-node-t curve, we can detect signals based on the analysis below.

I. During the sampling time t = 1 - 613, the *L* of all nodes have values less than 0.1, which means that the system is in normal operation.

II. When t = 613, there are 6 nodes whose *L* are larger than 0.1, which indicates the single-phase grounding fault of the distribution network. The fault is set to occur when t = 600, and it is detected when t = 613, so the delay is

Table 2 Time consumption for four methods for node 4 grounded by  $10 \Omega \label{eq:stable}$ 

 Method
 Time(s)

 M1
 132

 M2
 11520

 M3
 67

 M4
 151

0.65 ms, which verifies of the rapidity of the method. In fact, with the use of the split window, power systems can be monitored in real time, and likewise the analysis. The delay is due to the reaction time used by the system and the threshold chosen for L.

III. According to the structure of the network, the fault location can be determined as shown in Fig. 6b. For node 11, once the fault occurs, fault current will flow from the power supply on the right side through node 27, 25, 13 to node 11. Since node 11 is at the end of the line, the fault can be located at node 11. For the fault at node 4, please refer to Section 4.1. Case 1 shows the effectiveness of the proposed method in complex fault.

## 4.2.2 Case 2: Comparison of time consumption across four methods

In order to compare the time consumed of different methods, four methods are conducted for case 1, and the results are shown in Table 4.

Since the two nodes are grounded by small resistance at the same time, the fault signal is strong enough, and the four methods are all applicable. In Table 4, it can be seen that compared with the single node fault situation, there is no significant change in time consumed for M1 and M2; for M3 and M4, the time is twice as large. In this situation, the proposed method takes the least amount of time to detect and localize the faults, indicating that the proposed method is applicable to multi-node

Table 3 Effectiveness comparison results for node 4 grounded by 10 k $\Omega$ 

Method	Fault detection	Fault localization		
M1	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$		
M2	×	×		
M3	×	×		
M4	×	×		



simultaneous ground faults, which verifies the universality of the proposed method.

#### 4.3 Robustness verification of bad data

Due to the data instability in the synchronized phasor measurement, the correctness of online fault diagnosis is reduced, since based on the data-driven tools, the

Table 4 Time consumption for four methods for node 4 grounded by  $10 \Omega \,$ 

Method	Time(s)
M1	127
M2	1156
M3	133
M4	312

proposed method has good robustness against bad data. To validate this, the zero-sequence current of node 10 and node 20 are set to be zero and the above three faults are performed. Figure 7 shows the contribution to the fault of each node at a certain time in the three fault scenarios, and we circle the nodes whose *L* are larger than 0.1 in red. It can be seen that the values of node 10 and node 20 do not exceed the threshold in the three faults, and the nodes filtered for fault location remain the same. The simulation results are consistent with the experimental settings, since the M-P law is based on the statistical characteristics of the data. The correlation is enhanced when there are faults. While there are some bad data, there is no correlation between the bad data and the normal data; thus, it will not influence the results of the analysis, which validates the robustness of the proposed method against bad data.



## 5 Conclusion

This paper proposes a data-driven method to detect and localize the single-phase grounding fault from an overall perspective in power systems, which is universal and can identify and locate single-phase grounding fault relatively fast and sensitively. The method proposed can avoid complicated fault feature extraction process and provide fault location information at the same time of fault detection; thus, it is of high speed; since the method proposed extracts fault features from the view of big data, thus, it is universal to various scenarios. Based on the correlation analytics of M-P law, the method is of high sensitivity and has good robustness to the bad data. The current work is only a preliminary exploration of correlation analysis based on RMT, and much more research is needed in this direction. Due to statistical errors, sometimes, even if there is no fault in power systems, some eigenvalues will be larger than b, though statistical errors will not continue to occur for a period of time. Therefore, it is necessary to consider the length of time during which abnormal eigenvalues appear. Furthermore, the proposed method can be used in any event localization such as locations of the electricity theft and abnormal power increases of some nodes.

## Appendix





## Table 5 Results of M3

Node	4	8	9	22	23	D
4	_	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01
8	0.01	-	0	0	0	0
9	0.01	0	-	0	0	0
22	0.01	0	0	_	0	0
23	0.01	0	0	0	_	0

## Table 6 Results for M4

Node	5	8	22	23
E	0.4273	0.4462	0.5444	0.5184

#### Abbreviations

DFT: Discrete Fourier transform; ESD: Empirical spectral distribution; IID: Independently identically distributed; M-P law: Marchenko-Pastur law; RMT: Random matrix theory; SVD: Singular value decomposition

#### Authors' contributions

BW contributed on the design of the methods in distribution network based on synchronized phasor measurement. HW, LZ, and DZ presented the performance evaluation. XL and SW participated in the design and optimization of framework. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

#### Funding

The authors would like to thank the anonymous reviewers for their helpful insights and suggestions which have substantially improved the content and presentation of this paper. This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China No. 51777142, No.71371127, No.91846301, NO.71790615, NO.71431006 and No. 91846301, the Key Project for Philosophy and Social Sciences Research of Shenzhen City [No.135A004], and the Fundamental Research Funds for the Central Universities (Program No. 2722019PY052).

#### Availability of data and materials

The authors do not share the data, due to the requirements of the foundations.

#### **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

#### Author details

<sup>1</sup> School of Electrical Engineering, Wuhan University, Wuhan 430072, Hubei, China. <sup>2</sup>Guangdong Power Grid Development Research Institute Co., Ltd., Guangzhou 510080, Guangdong, China. <sup>3</sup>Institute of Big Data Intelligent Management and Decision, Shenzhen University, Shenzhen, 518060, China. <sup>4</sup> School of Information and Safety Engineering, Zhongnan University of Economics and Law, Wuhan 430073, China.

#### Received: 9 June 2019 Accepted: 18 July 2019 Published online: 05 August 2019

#### References

- 1. Z. Kang, R. Liu, Y. Cao, A fault location method for single-phase grounding fault in distribution network. Power System & Clean Energy. **8**, 9 (2015)
- R. Cespedes, E. Parra, A. Aldana, C. Torres, in *In 2010 IEEE/PES Transmission* and Distribution Conference and Exposition: Latin America (T&D-LA). Evolution of power to smart energy systems (IEEE, 2010), pp. 616–621
- L. Qi, Q. He, F. Chen, W. Dou, S. Wan, X. Zhang, X. Xu, Finding All You Need: Web APIs Recommendation in Web of Things Through Keywords Search. IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems (2019)
- Y. Yin, F. Yu, Y. Xu, L. Yu, J. Mu, Network location-aware service recommendation with random walk in cyber-physical systems. Sensors. 17(9), 2059 (2017)
- Z. Gao, D. Wang, S. Wan, H. Zhang, Y. Wang, Cognitive-inspired class-statistic matching with triple-constrain for camera free 3d object retrieval. Future Generation Computer Systems. 9, 641–653 (2019)
- S. Wan, Y. Zhao, T. Wang, Z. Gu, Q. H. Abbasi, K.-K. R. Choo, Multi-dimensional data indexing and range query processing via voronoi diagram for internet of things. Future Generation Computer Systems. 91, 382–391 (2019)
- J. D. L. Ree, V. Centeno, J. S. Thorp, et al., Synchronized phasor measurement applications in power systems. IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid. 1(1), 20–27 (2010)
- P. A. H. Cavalcante, M. C. D. Almeida, Fault location approach for distribution systems based on modern monitoring infrastructure. IET Generation, Transmission & Distribution. 12(1), 94–103 (2018)
- 9. X. Liu, D. Wang, X. Jiang, N. Yi, Fault location algorithm for distribution power network based on relationship in time difference of arrival of traveling wave. Proceedings of the Csee (2017)

- J. A. Jiang, J. Z. Yang, Y. H. Lin, et al., An adaptive PMU based fault detection/location technique for transmission lines i theory and algorithms. IEEE Transactions on Power Delivery. 15(4), 1136–1146 (2000)
- Q. Zhang, S. Wan, B. Wang, D. W. Gao, H. Ma, Anomaly detection based on random matrix theory for industrial power systems. Journal of Systems Architecture. 95, 67–74 (2019)
- Y. Zhou, Z. Tang, L. Qi, X. Zhang, W. Dou, S. Wan, Intelligent service recommendation for cold-start problems in edge computing. IEEE Access. 7, 46637–46645 (2019)
- S. Meng, L. Qi, Q. Li, W. Lin, X. Xu, S. Wan, Privacy-preserving and sparsityaware location-based prediction method for collaborative recommender systems. Future Generation Computer Systems. 96, 324–335 (2019)
- X. Xu, Q. Liu, X. Zhang, J. Zhang, L. Qi, W. Dou, A Blockchain-Powered Crowdsourcing Method With Privacy Preservation in Mobile Environment. IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems (2019)
- W. Li, X. Liu, J. Liu, P. Chen, S. Wan, X. Cui, On Improving the accuracy with auto-encoder on conjunctivitis. Applied Soft Computing. 81, 105489 (2019)
- Y. Yin, L. Chen, J. Wan, et al., Location-aware service recommendation with enhanced probabilistic matrix factorization. IEEE Access. 6, 62815–62825 (2018)
- H. Gao, W. Huang, X. Yang, Y. Duan, Y. Yin, Toward service selection for workflow reconfiguration: an interface-based computing solution. Future Generation Computer Systems. 87, 298–311 (2018)
- S. Ding, S. Qu, Y. Xi, S. Wan, A long video caption generation algorithm for big video data retrieval. Future Generation Computer Systems. 93, 583–595 (2019)
- Y. Chen, S. Deng, H. Ma, J. Yin, Deploying data-intensive applications with multiple services components on edge. Mobile Networks and Applications, 1–16 (2019)
- S. Deng, L. Huang, G. Xu, X. Wu, Z. Wu, On deep learning for trust-aware recommendations in social networks. IEEE transactions on neural networks and learning systems. 28(5), 1164–1177 (2017)
- S. Wan, Y. Zhang, J. Chen, On the construction of data aggregation tree with maximizing lifetime in large-scale wireless sensor networks. IEEE Sensors Journal. 16(20), 7433–7440 (2016)
- H. Gao, S. Mao, W. Huang, X. Yang, Applying probabilistic model checking to financial production risk evaluation and control: a case study of Alibaba's Yu'e Bao. IEEE Transactions on Computational Social Systems. 99, 1–11 (2018)
- Z. Gao, H.-Z. Xuan, H. Zhang, S. Wan, K.-K. R. Choo, Adaptive fusion and category-level dictionary learning model for multi-view human action recognition. IEEE Internet of Things Journal (2019)
- T. Wang, G. Zhang, M. Z. A. Bhuiyan, A. Liu, W. Jia, M. Xie, A novel trust mechanism based on fog computing in sensor–cloud system. Future Generation Computer Systems (2018)
- Y. Yin, L. Chen, Y. Xu, J. Wan, H. Zhang, Z. Mai, QoS Prediction for service recommendation with deep feature learning in edge computing environment. Mobile Networks and Applications, 1–11 (2019)
- X. Xu, Y. Xue, L. Qi, Y. Yuan, X. Zhang, T. Umer, S. Wan, An edge computing-enabled computation offloading method with privacy preservation for internet of connected vehicles. Future Generation Computer Systems. 96, 89–100 (2019)
- T. Wang, G. Zhang, A. Liu, M. Z. A. Bhuiyan, Q. Jin, A secure iot service architecture with an efficient balance dynamics based on cloud and edge computing. IEEE Internet of Things Journal (2018)
- L. Qi, X. Zhang, W. Dou, Q. Ni, A distributed locality-sensitive hashing-based approach for cloud service recommendation from multi-source data. IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications. 35(11), 2616–2624 (2017)
- Y. Xu, L. Qi, W. Dou, J. Yu, Privacy-preserving and scalable service recommendation based on simhash in a distributed cloud environment. Complexity. 2017 (2017)
- L. Qi, R. Wang, C. Hu, S. Li, Q. He, X. Xu, Time-aware distributed service recommendation with privacy-preservation. Information Sciences. 480, 354–364 (2019)
- X. Xu, Y. Li, T. Huang, Y. Xue, K. Peng, L. Qi, W. Dou, An energy-aware computation offloading method for smart edge computing in wireless metropolitan area networks. Journal of Network and Computer Applications. **133**, 75–85 (2019)

- L. Qi, Y. Chen, Y. Yuan, S. Fu, X. Zhang, X. Xu, A qos-aware virtual machine scheduling method for energy conservation in cloudbased cyber-physical systems. World Wide Web, 1–23 (2019)
- X. He, Q. Ai, C. Qiu, et al., A big data architecture design for smart grids based on random matrix theory. IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid. 8(2), 674–686 (2015)
- X. Xu, X. He, Q. Ai, et al., A correlation analysis method for power systems based on random matrix theory. IEEE Transactions on Smart Grid. **PP**(99), 1–10 (2015)
- F. Yang, X. He, Qiu R.C., et al., A data-driven approach to multi-event analytics in large-scale power systems Using Factor Model (2017). https:// www.researchgate.net/publication/322076207. arXiv e-prints, p. arXiv:1712.08871
- L. Wei, Z. Dongxia, W. Xinying, Power system transient stability analysis based on random matrix theory. Proceedings of the CSEE. 36(18), 4854–4864 (2016)
- W. Xi, Z. Dongxia, L. Daowei, et al., A method for power system steady stability situation assessment based on random matrix theory. Proceedings of the CSEE. 36(20), 5414–5421 (2016)
- C. Weibiao, C. Yiping, Y. Wei, W. Jinyu, A random matrix theory-based approach to fault time determination and fault area location. Proceedings of the CSEE. 38(06), 1655–1664+1902 (2018)
- Z. Guan, G. Si, X. Zhang, L. Wu, N. Guizani, X. Du, Y. Ma, Privacy-preserving and efficient aggregation based on blockchain for power grid communications in smart communities. IEEE Communications Magazine. 56(7), 82–88 (2018)
- F. U. Din, A. Ahmad, H. Ullah, A. Khan, T. Umer, S. Wan, Efficient sizing and placement of distributed generators in cyber-Physical power systems. Journal of Systems Architecture. **97**, 197–207 (2019)
- K. W. Wang, C. Y. Chung, C. T. Tse, et al., Multimachine eigenvalue sensitivities of power system parameters. IEEE Transactions on Power Systems. 15(2), 741–747 (2002)
- H. C. Shu, M. M. Zhu, W. Z. Huang, Faulty line selection based on time-frequency characteristics of transient zero-sequence current. Electric Power Automation Equipment. 33(9), 1–6 (2013)

### **Publisher's Note**

Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

#### Page 13 of 13

# Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:

- Convenient online submission
- Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ► High visibility within the field
- Retaining the copyright to your article

Submit your next manuscript at > springeropen.com